



INSTITUTE FOR  
Learning Innovation

Final Evaluation Report: International Conference: The  
integration of Science Centres with Natural History  
Museums for Imparting Informal Education

Judith Koke, MMST  
Director, Professional Learning

## **Final Evaluation Report:**

### **International Conference: The integration of Science Centres with Natural History Museums for Imparting Informal Education**

Award 1724213

Judith Koke, MMst

#### **Introduction**

The catalyst for this International Meeting was a growing awareness globally of the increasing importance of a science literate and engaged public. The project had three major goals:

1. To examine the opportunities, challenges, barriers and infrastructure needed to build a more systemic approach to 21<sup>st</sup> century public science education.
2. To examine the opportunities, challenges and barriers to making informal/free-choice science education institutions both more central and more relevant to the 21<sup>st</sup> century publics of all ages, both culturally and personally.
3. Foster intra- and inter-national collaborations in the service of creating new, more self-sustaining models for supporting the public's science learning.

To deliver on these goals, with primary support of the U.S. National Science Foundation a meeting was convened in Kuala Lumpur. With collaboration from the Pakistan National Science Foundation and the Petrosains Science Centre, Malaysia the Institute for Learning Innovation facilitated a meeting that brought together an invitation-only group of 35 ministerial level policy makers, university leaders, government officials and science centre and natural history administrators from 9 South Asian countries plus the U.S. The meeting was designed to explore the need and potential methods to enhance national capabilities for science learning in each of the represented countries. The meeting included official welcomes, an initial presentation, and two days of large and small group discussions - both in cross-national as well as within-national groups. Prior to the start of meeting, participants were asked to describe what they considered an example of an exemplary science learning effort from their country. At the conclusion of the meeting, participants were asked to reflect on what they learned about science education from the meeting and their professional commitments to furthering science learning in their country and region. Six months later, participants were contacted a third and final time, to explore what changes had occurred in their perceptions of science learning generally, how they might influence science learning in their nation, and whether ongoing collaborative relationships had been developed as an outcome of the meeting. This report is the summary of those findings.

## **Methods** – Conference

The participants in this International Conference were key ministerial level policy makers, university leaders, government officials and science centre and natural history leaders from South Asia, the western part of South East Asia and the United States; specifically, leaders from the following ten countries: Pakistan, India, Bangladesh Nepal, Sri Lanka, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, Indonesia and the United States. A total of 40 participants were invited to participate, 4 individuals per country, 35 of whom ultimately attended (see Appendix A).

The conference was designed to explore the need to enhance the national capabilities for science learning in each of the represented countries. Welcoming remarks were made on the first evening by two dignitaries: Professor Datuk Dr. Mathlan Othman, Director, International Council for Sciences, former Director of the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs and former Director General of the Malaysian National Space Agency and the Honorable Kamala Shirin Lakhdhir, U.S. Ambassador to Malaysia. At the start of the full first day of the meeting project PIs Falk and Dierking laid out an initial framing of the topic, specifically that the public's science learning is supported by three key "pillars": the formal education sector, business and industry and the broad and multidimensional informal/free-choice learning sector, e.g., places like science centres, museums, zoos, science events and after school programs for children, government and citizen groups involved with environmental and health-related issues, from media such as science-related television programming as well as the educational efforts of a wide variety of other science-related groups and organizations. A key message of their presentation was that despite the almost total focus internationally on just one of these pillars, the formal education system, as much if not more of the long-term science learning benefits is due to the efforts of the other two pillars, in particular, the informal/free-choice learning pillar. Interestingly, over the course of group discussion, a fourth "pillar" of science education was proposed, and agreed to by the group: the vital science education role that families and communities play. The focus of the rest of the meeting was on exploring how, on both a national and international level public science education policy could work to better integrate and synergize these four key parts of public science education equation. A summary of the meeting agenda is included as Appendix B.

A major outcome of the meeting was the development of a group-initiated policy statement: "GOALS for a 21<sup>ST</sup> CENTURY APPROACH to SCIENCE EDUCATION POLICY." It is significant to note that the idea of creating a science education policy statement as a meeting outcome was not part of the original meeting plan but rather arose from within the group itself. The meeting attendees unanimously approved the following the statement.

### **GOALS for a 21<sup>ST</sup> CENTURY APPROACH to SCIENCE EDUCATION POLICY**

- Build awareness and interest in the importance of lifelong science learning and participation.
- Share examples of evidence-based practice and seek opportunities for collaboration and cooperation nationally and internationally.
- Leverage existing formal, informal/free-choice, business/industry and family/community assets and structures.
- Ensure personal, cultural and societal/global relevance by connecting science to people's lives and providing equal access for all.

## Methods: Conference Evaluation

As outlined above the goals of this endeavor were:

1. To examine the opportunities, challenges, barriers and infrastructure needed to build a more systemic approach to 21<sup>st</sup> century public science education.
2. To examine the opportunities, challenges and barriers to making informal/free-choice science education institutions both more central and more relevant to the 21<sup>st</sup> century publics of all ages, both culturally and personally.
3. Foster intra- and inter-national collaborations in the service of creating new, more self-sustaining models for supporting the public's science learning.

To assess the impact of participation in the conference, formal data were collected at three points:

- A. Prior to participating in the conference
- B. At the conclusion of the conference
- C. 6 months following participation in the conference.

### A. Pre-Conference

Prior to attending the meeting, all participants were asked to read a set of articles related to public science education. Each participant was also asked to complete a short assignment prior to arriving at the meeting. Participants were asked to write-up, in one page or less, a description of one example of an exemplary science popularization educational effort in their country outlining the main goal(s), the key educational approaches/ strategies employed, which audience the program served; and finally, how these efforts were evaluated to provide evidence of success. These examples served as a baseline indication of how participants viewed "exemplary" science education prior to the meeting and, importantly, whether they currently considered cross-sector collaboration and integration critical to the process.

One conference participant, Dr. Aceng Ruyani, co-wrote a chapter in the 2017 book *Preparing informal science educators: Perspectives from science communication and education*<sup>1</sup> with Catherine Matthews (University of North Carolina, NSF funded Project HERPS – Herpetology Education in rural Places and Spaces). In the chapter Ruyani and Matthews explain that opportunities for free-choice learning opportunities through out-of-school activities are limited to non-existent in Indonesia. Informal learning groups such as scouts or clubs are generally not available, and citizens have limited experience with nature. Thus, while the Indonesian government has prioritized environmental education, opportunities to engage in environmental learning are scarce. He suggests that informal science learning opportunities could rally the Indonesian people before their natural resources cease to exist.

### B. End-of-Conference

---

<sup>1</sup> Ruyani, A. & Matthews, C.E. (2017). A comparative look at informal science education and environmental education in Bengkulu Province, Indonesia and North Carolina. In P. Patrick (Ed,) *Preparing informal science educators: Perspectives from science communication and education* (pp. 387-418). Cham, Switzerland: Springer. ISBN 978-3319503967.

At the end of the last day of the meeting, conference participants were requested to complete a one to two-page response to three questions:

1. What is your key learning(s) from the conference?
2. With whom do you believe it is important to connect to after leaving this conference?
3. What do you plan to do to further the popularization of science learning and to move our jointly developed “GOALS for a 21<sup>ST</sup> CENTURY APPROACH to SCIENCE EDUCATION POLICY” forward?

#### C. Six Month Post-Conference

Six months after the conclusion of the meeting each participant was re-contacted by email and asked to complete a short, 12-item questionnaire that explored their learning from the meeting, actions taken post-meeting and to determine if any ongoing communication and/or networking relevant to the meeting’s goals had taken place since the end of the meeting.

### Findings

#### A. Pre-participation Exemplars – Indications of Current Practices

Each participant brought examples of programs offered by their institution. The examples brought to the meeting ranged broadly from Science Olympiads to Science programs for high school students and 3 Citizen Science projects. The Asian programs were strongly situated in the offering organization. There were almost no examples of collaborations between organizations, industries or communities present in these initial examples of “exemplary programs.” Most were either didactic presentations or competitions, few offered collaborative, project-based learning. Very little evaluation had been performed. By contrast, the “exemplary programs” submitted by the American contingent and the UNESCO representative outlined more collaborative projects involving media outlets, school districts, and community groups.

#### B. At End of Conference

Almost unanimously, the conference participants describe their key learning as a new, or renewed understanding of the importance of informal science learning as a vehicle for popularizing science understandings across their populations, and as a complement to formal science education efforts.

In descending order of frequency, key learnings were identified as:

- The importance of free-choice or informal learning in disseminating science concepts broadly
- The importance of national approach to/new policies to support science learning that seeks to integrate both informal and formal education
- The importance of an integrated ecosystem of science learning – a) across pillars (formal education, industry, family/community, informal learning environments), and b) across a larger scale, either nationally or globally
- The importance of synergy among different organizations
- New vehicles or programs available to employ in science learning and the popularization of science
- The refocus of education leaders and funders to consider learning rather than instruction

- The wealth of existing research to support this work
- The power of collaboration to generate productive ideas

Participants left the conference emboldened to reach out to important influencers in their regions. They described the intention to reach out to leaders in government and educational organizations, as well as policy and budget directors. Individuals spoke of bringing new knowledge back to their organizations and teams, but also to potential partners outside their broader community of education leaders. One individual spoke of sharing key concepts on a weekly radio show they host, another of building a city-wide community of practice to support capacity building.

When asked what they planned to contribute to the popularization of science learning, participants indicated a strong desire to:

- influence policies and budgets
- share conference research and case study examples
- perform more evaluation and research on existing programs
- engage organization boards and leaders in this discussion
- create new programs with broader reach and impact
- collaborate with like-minded individuals in other organizations
- build support of a local science center
- reach out nationally and internationally

It is clear the meeting generated energy and renewed participants' enthusiasm to work in (or influence) their country's national agenda and practice of science learning. Participants were able to identify key challenges and barriers to success and recognized the strength of collaborative solution making. The conference supported the early development of strategies – both individual and collaborative. It provided the opportunity to establish important relationships across sectors and nations of professionals engaged in this field.

Although not part of the formal evaluation, the development of GOALS for a 21<sup>ST</sup> CENTURY APPROACH to SCIENCE EDUCATION POLICY statement itself is an important data point. Both the content of the policy statement as well as the fact that the impetus for creating such a statement arose spontaneously from the participants rather than from the organizers can be taken as strong evidence that the meeting successfully achieved its three stated goals of building a more systemic view of public science education; increasing the perceived importance and relevance of informal/free-choice learning institutions to fostering public science education; and building inter- and intra-national support for these ideas.

### **Six Months Post Conference**

Six months after the meeting a 12-item survey (Appendix C) was emailed to each of the 35 Conference attendees, 17 completed the survey (a 49% responses rate). At least one response was received from each of the ten participating countries. Below are summaries and representative responses for each of the 12 questions asked.

Question #1: Did participation in this conference in any way change or enhance your perspectives on the popularization of science and how to advance public engagement and understanding of science in your country? Please explain.

All but one respondent answered this question in the affirmative. Many indicated a heightened understanding of the role of informal science learning in a national agenda. In addition to learning about existing research, and new approaches, a key learning was the importance of reaching out to industry and business.

It was specifically useful in enhancing my understanding on new models for supporting the public's science education through the use of informal/free-choice learning assets (Thailand).

I found that the addition of the "workplace: business and industry" pillar of science learning was an important perspective to consider when thinking about the popularization of science and advancing public engagement and understanding. In addition listening to the diverse perspectives of international colleagues was quite interesting and informative. (Singapore)

Question #2: Did participation in this conference in any way support your on-going efforts to popularize science and advance public engagement and understanding of science in your country? Please explain.

Again, the responses to this question were overwhelmingly positive. Respondents spoke of engaging new publics in existing and new programs, working with new partners and funders, having an increased sense of commitment to the work of informal science learning, and the ability to ground their conversations in research and exemplar practices.

Yes. Based on the deliberations of the conference the issue was raised by the undersigned in a Conference of Heads of the science museums and science centres in India in Dec 2017 and a resolution was passed that the role of such informal science learning institutions should be brought to the notice of policy makers. A letter has been sent to the Chairman of the committee who is engaged in making the new education Policy of the Govt. (India)

I think that all who work in the world of science engagement are struggling to find the best way to connect with informal, formal, families and communities and businesses. Having Falk and Dierking set up the conversation grounded in research and practices, helped expand my thinking. (Singapore)

Question #3: Do you feel there has been a change in your approach to the popularization of science and how to advance public engagement and understanding of science since the conference? Please explain.

Approximately one quarter of respondents felt there had not been a change in their approach, but that the power of their convictions had increased. The majority of respondents felt their approach had changed, and, in some situations, with success.

Yes, in thinking about the relative importance of informal to formal environments for the learning of science, in contrast to the relative amount of resources allocated to them. (USA)

I tried to give some inputs to several stakeholders. One of them is museum communities or science centers. I suggested to use a lot of resources for the above purposes i.e. higher education institution involvement and family. Some of science centers/museums could provide some programs related both stakeholders. So I felt a change of the approach on science popularization. (Pakistan)

Being a hardcore researcher, but also involved in formal teaching I only used lecturing and demonstrations in the class. My approach now is to also use other activities as well. (Malaysia)

**Question #4:** Was the idea of the “Four Pillars<sup>2</sup>” of science public engagement and understanding of science useful to you in your work? Please explain.

All respondents felt the concept of the Four Pillars was very important and useful. Most expressed having ignored one of the pillars previously – often the business and industry sector. It is clear that this concept is shaping the participant’s practices.

Yes. I generally work with 3 of the 4 pillars (families/community/formal and informal education) but the business pillar is really an important pillar that I was not as tuned into. We do a lot of career focused programming for high school students and beyond and have not necessarily been partnering with industry and business beyond the fundraising needs. There is a great deal of potential in this area. (Singapore)

The ideas of four pillars is extremely useful for the development of science popularization activities in short and long-term programs (India)

**Question #5:** Now that you’ve had some time to reflect, do you feel that participating in this meeting was worthwhile to you? Please explain.

One individual who travelled from the USA, and was very familiar with the concepts presented, felt the personal benefit of new connections did not outweigh the significant investment in travel time. However, each of the other respondents, including other USA participants, found the experience to be rich and worthwhile, offering new connections, skills and knowledge. The organizers of the meeting were reminded that one goal was to develop a forum for ongoing interaction, and a question arose as to how that would happen.

Yes of course the meeting was extremely useful. it increased my knowledge, skill, confidence, network, and others positive impacts. (Pakistan)

Yes, to a great extent. The participation and sharing of experiences and the presentations has helped a lot to give a new dimension to my thinking of public engagement and understanding of science through various informal learning centres. (Indonesia)

**Question #6:** Have you connected with any other participants since the meeting? With whom and for what reason?

Respondents have been in touch with each other and with nonparticipants identified as instrumental in assisting in the popularization of science and science learning. In looking back to the individuals or organizations that participants identified as important to contact on the last day of the conference, many of those have been reached.

Ganigar Chen. A pop-up exhibition from my Museum went to Thailand and I connected with her about this. Dr. James Short - ideas about a potential grant. (Singapore)

Malaysia, Thailand for collaborative projects and sharing of information (Bangladesh)

**Question #7 and #8:** Who else from your country do you think would have been important to have been invited to the meeting? Please explain. Has that person been informed about the meeting?

---

<sup>2</sup> Four Pillars: formal education, informal/free choice learning, business and industry, community and family



Respondents had a number of suggestions, particularly of additional representatives from Ministries of Education, Science or Technology. For a full list of suggested additional participants, see Appendix D. In most cases, individuals identified in question 7 had been informed about the meeting. In some cases, respondents are waiting for the final report/white paper to be distributed, before connecting the stated individual.

Question # 9 and #10: The organizers of the meeting sought to make it a highly participatory meeting. Do you feel they were successful? Please explain. Do you believe everyone felt equally involved and comfortable to speak out?

Participants found the experience to be highly interactive and participatory. As a group, they initiated the addition of two key elements of the meeting – the addition of the fourth pillar and the list of Goals for a 21<sup>st</sup> century approach to Science Education policy (see page 2)

Yes, very much. The sessions were very interactive, and participants were totally involved in the process. Many success stories were also shared during the interactive sessions beneficial for all. (Indonesia)

Yes, there was very high participation and value added from that. (Nepal)

Question #11: If the meeting organizers could do one additional thing to help advance policy related to the popularization of science and public engagement and understanding of science in your country, what would you suggest they do?

Respondents had unique suggestions – including support for using and sharing research, developing a ‘position paper’ to be shared with key influencers, and connecting with a broader audience, particularly government officials.

A well-prepared strategy paper on the outcome of the conference, if sent to the respective Govt. and to us also for circulation, may help in enhancing the cause of the informal science learning institutions and more funding for such activities. (Indonesia)

Perhaps develop a "toolkit of data" that provides research information and talking points to help standardize or enrich how people talk about the benefits of informal science education. (India)

Question #12: If the meeting organizers could do one additional thing to help advance policy related to the popularization of science and public engagement and understanding of science in the region, what would you suggest they do?

Respondents had numerous suggestions for this question as well, including connecting with existing professional organizations in the regions, offering more conferences in the area, as well as developing a conference inclusive of the Four Pillars. They suggested including more policy leaders and underscored the political nature of science education in this area. A number of individuals suggested a platform for exchange and networking, and ongoing dissemination of new, supportive research results. The full list of responses can be found in Appendix F.

## Implications

As evidenced by the very positive responses, it is clear this gathering fostered deep learning and new connections; it was a valuable catalyst for international and intranational awareness and discussion of free-choice/informal learning. The group expressed that they came away with a significant number of new ideas they had learned and expressed the desire to find ways to continue learning and to access new research.

This meeting brought together individuals from not only a number of different countries/cultures, it also combined individuals from across a wide range of government and educational positions and perspectives. However, arguably because of the interactive, participatory nature of the meeting, participants uniformly said they found the meeting comfortable and expressed sincere appreciation for the ability to have their voices/opinions heard.

In reference to the project's goals, the project also appears to have been successful. First, the opportunities, challenges, barriers and infrastructure needed to build a more systemic approach to 21<sup>st</sup> century public science education were identified, and potential solutions were generated. In considering the opportunities for collaborations the group refined and redefined the four pillars, to consider building a broad base of engagement and support across all areas of society. The group identified the intensely political nature of expanding science learning into the relatively new territory of informal learning, as most countries are deeply invested in formal science learning. They strongly urged the conference organizers to produce a white paper<sup>3</sup> summarizing the learning and discussion that they can utilize in their own countries for garnering support and resources from their decision-makers and governments.

The project's second goal, the opportunities, challenges and barriers to making informal/free choice science education institutions both more central and more relevant to the 21<sup>st</sup> century publics of all ages, both culturally and personally, was a topic that was closely examined during the meeting. All members of the group reported an increased awareness and understanding of out-of-school learning – and could articulate the potential that such programs and investments could make to radically altering their citizenry's science engagement. Apropos of this point, the group developed the joint policy statement and as a group committed to its tenets. However, they also underscored the need to develop a set of strategies for successfully supporting these goals across the region.

During the effort to discuss cultural relevance and science learning the participants identified that each of their homelands have both diverse minority populations and significant income disparity, and that each nation deals with those issues very differently. Thus arriving at a shared solution would prove not only challenging but also would likely be unproductive. However, the conversation did converge across nations during a conversation about Natural History Museum and Science Center collaborations and a discussion of the (originally 3) pillars. It was during this discussion that the Director of the National Museum of Natural History, India suggest the addition of a 4<sup>th</sup> pillar – families and communities. This suggestion proved to be a constructive way to support the conversation about cultural relevance across culturally diverse participants, and resulted in the generation of a range of useful strategies for reaching out to diverse communities. In particular, framing the discussion around families and communities

---

<sup>3</sup> Note – such a White Paper was included in the original proposal for this project and is anticipated to be written by September 2018.

grounded the conversation in ways that allowed participants to talk about the needs of real people within authentic cultural contexts. In particular, much of the conversation focused on the needs and realities of rural and village life and focused on how to successfully and meaningfully support access to science learning for the hundreds of millions of South Asian individuals living in these settings.

The third goal was to foster intra- and inter-national collaborations in the service of creating new, more self-sustaining models for supporting the public's science learning. The follow-up survey provides evidence that this has in fact begun to happen, as demonstrated by the following three examples:

Participation in the Malaysia meeting *contributed* to Professor Ruyani's ability to build internal and governmental support for her participation in the international NSF funded HERPS project as outlined below – as she was able to underscore the important role and value of free-choice/Informal learning in a compelling manner supported with strong data from research.

A different participant from Indonesia reported that he or she connected with his/her counterparts in Singapore to initiate a collaborative program and visited the Singapore Science Centre in person.

A participant from Nepal reported connecting with Mr. Irakli Khodeli of UNESCO based in Indonesia to organize science teachers' workshop on research ethics in Kathmandu.

A number of respondents suggested that the creation of some form of platform (i.e. list-serve, website) for exchange would support the ongoing activation of relationships established at the meeting and information sharing. As mentioned above, another suggestion to support this goal was the publication of conference proceedings or a White Paper to serve as support when reaching out to new colleagues and organizations to shape new collaborations.

### **Recommendations**

1. Consider assisting representatives from the region in the establishment of a platform to support ongoing networking and exchange.
2. Focus on government and formal education leaders as the audience for the aforementioned White Paper to support conference participants and their allies in building support for free-choice/informal science learning.
3. This meeting underscored the potential critical role that the USA (through the NSF) can play in helping to catalyze international efforts to broaden public understanding of and engagement with science; both regionally and internationally.
4. After the dissemination of the intended White Paper, we believe interest and commitment to the important role that free-choice/informal science learning can play in building healthy and informed communities will likely be piqued. However, in the absence of sustained efforts, e.g., another conference – perhaps one that specifically includes members of business and industry – or some other kind of collaborative face-to-face or digital support mechanism, long-term change is less likely to occur.

Given the apparent success of this initial effort, some kind of follow-up effort seems both warranted and likely to be successful.

## Appendix A: List of Attendees

	<b>Attended:</b>
<b>Bangladesh</b>	<p><b>Professor Dr. Syed Saad Andaleeb</b>, (Ph.D.)  Vice Chancellor  BRAC University  66 Mohakhali  Dhaka 1212  Bangladesh  <a href="mailto:s.andaleeb@bracu.ac.bd">s.andaleeb@bracu.ac.bd</a></p>
<b>India</b>	<p><b>Mr. Samarendra Kumar</b>, Director,  National Council of Science Museums  33, Block-GN, Sector V, Bidhan Nagar,  Kolkata, West Bengal - 700091  Tel: +91-33-23574200; Email: <a href="mailto:director_hqrs@ncsm.gov.in">director_hqrs@ncsm.gov.in</a></p> <p><b>Ms. Naaz Rizvi</b>, (M.Sc.),  Director in Charge,  National Museum of Natural History  FICCI Building, Tansen Marg, New Delhi, Delhi 110001, India  <a href="mailto:naazrizvi@yahoo.co.in">naazrizvi@yahoo.co.in</a></p> <p><b>Dr. A. Senthil Vel</b>, Adviser  Ministry of Environment, Forest &amp; Climate Change  Prithvi Block, First Floor,  Indira Paryavaran Bhawan  Jor Bagh Road, Aliganj  New Delhi - 110 003  <a href="mailto:senthil.vel@nic.in">senthil.vel@nic.in</a>,  Mobile: 9868209241</p> <p><b>Dr A.K. Sahoo</b>, (M.Sc. Ph.D., FES)  Scientist 'D',  Botanical Survey of India,  Industrial Section, Indian Museum;  27, Jawaharlal Nehru Rd,  Kolkata, West Bengal-700016  <a href="mailto:sahooak2008@gmail.com">sahooak2008@gmail.com</a>, Mobile: 9748065300</p>
<b>Indonesia</b>	<p><b>Mr. Irakli Khodeli</b>  Programme Specialist  Social and Human Sciences  UNESCO Office in Jakarta  Regional Science Bureau for Asia and the Pacific Cluster Office for Brunei, Indonesia, Malaysia,  the Philippines and Timor-Leste  Galuh II no 5, Kebayoran Baru  Jakarta  Indonesia  DKI Jakarta 12110  Tel.: (62 21) 7399818 (ext. 804)  <a href="mailto:i.khodeli@unesco.org">i.khodeli@unesco.org</a>  <a href="http://www.unesco.org/jakarta">www.unesco.org/jakarta</a></p> <p><b>Ms Rita Yulianti</b>  Planning, Evaluation and Reporting Analyst  Taman Pintar Science Center  Taman Pintar Kota Yogyakarta  Jalan Panembahan Senopati No. 1 - 3  Yogyakarta 55122, Indonesia  T +62 274 583 631; 583 713  F +62 274 583 664  E <a href="mailto:rita@tamanpintar.com">rita@tamanpintar.com</a>  W <a href="http://www.tamanpintar.com">www.tamanpintar.com</a></p> <p><b>Hendra Suryanto</b>,</p>

	<p>Head of Section for Vocational and Profession Directorate of Learning and Student Affairs Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education Jl Jenderal Sudirman, Pintu I Senayan, Gedung D Kementerian Riset, Teknologi, Dan Pendidikan Tinggi Jakarta 10270, Indonesia Tel: +62 (21) 5794 6100 Mobile: +62 (815) 1429 2669 Fax: +62 (21) 5794 6109 E-mail: <a href="mailto:hendra_suryanto65@yahoo.com">hendra_suryanto65@yahoo.com</a>; <a href="mailto:hendra_suryanto65@ristekdikti.go.id">hendra_suryanto65@ristekdikti.go.id</a></p> <p><b>Dr. Aceng Ruyani, MS</b> Professor of Developmental Biology Graduate School of Science Education The University of Bengkulu Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education Jl WR Supratman, Kandang Liman Bengkulu 38371, Indonesia Mobile: +62 (815) 3935 6530 E-mail: <a href="mailto:ruyaniaceng@gmail.com">ruyaniaceng@gmail.com</a></p>
<b>Malaysia</b>	<p><b>Tengku Nasariah Syed Ibrahim</b> CEO Petrosains Petronas Twin Towers Level 4, Suria KLCC 50088 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia T +60 3 2331 4796 <a href="mailto:nasaria@petronas.com">nasaria@petronas.com</a></p> <p><b>Mr. Saiful Bahri Baharom,</b> Director of Strategic Planning &amp; Science Advisory Petrosains Petronas Twin Towers Level 4, Suria KLCC 50088 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia <a href="mailto:saifub@petronas.com">saifub@petronas.com</a></p> <p><b>Ms. Mismah Jimbun</b> Director, Pusat Sains Negara, National Science Centre, Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI) Persiaran Bukit Kiara 50662 Bukit Kiara, Kuala Lumpur Tel : +603-2089 3400 Ext. 3530 <a href="mailto:mismah@psn.gov.my">mismah@psn.gov.my</a></p> <p><b>Mr. Robert (Todd) Hannah</b> Environment, Science &amp; Technology Officer U.S. Embassy Kuala Lumpur Work: +603 2168-4910 Mobile: +601 2208-0586 E-mail: <a href="mailto:HannahRT@state.gov">HannahRT@state.gov</a></p>
<b>Nepal</b>	<p><b>Er. Ganesh Shah</b>, President, Executive Board, Nepal Science Olympiad Bagbazar, Kathmandu, Nepal</p> <p><i>(Former Minister of Science, Technology and Environment)</i> <a href="mailto:shahganesh@gmail.com">shahganesh@gmail.com</a> Mobile: 9851079522 <a href="http://www.nepalscienceolympiad.org.np">www.nepalscienceolympiad.org.np</a></p> <p><b>Prof. Dr. Sitaram Byahut</b> Associate Professor, Physics Department Tribhuvan University TU Rd, Kirtipur 44618, Nepal</p>

	<p>Email: <a href="mailto:sbyahut@gmail.com">sbyahut@gmail.com</a> Mobile: 9841420744</p> <p><b>Dr. Dinesh Raj Bhuju (PhD)</b> Academician Nepal Academy of Science and Technology GPO Box:3323, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal Email: <a href="mailto:dineshbhuju@gmail.com">dineshbhuju@gmail.com</a> Contact No : 9841992216</p>
<b>Pakistan</b>	<p><b>Dr. Muhammad Rafique, PhD</b> Director General, Pakistan Museum of Natural History Garden Avenue, Shakarparian, H-7, Islamabad, Pakistan Tel: 051-9249239 Email: <a href="mailto:dq@pmnh.gov.pk">dq@pmnh.gov.pk</a>, <a href="mailto:rafique59@yahoo.com">rafique59@yahoo.com</a>.</p> <p><b>ADr. Khalid Mahmood, (PhD F.R.E.S)</b> Curator Zoological Sciences Division Pakistan Museum of Natural History Garden Avenue, Shakarparian, H-7, Islamabad, Pakistan +92519249250 <a href="mailto:dkmahmood@msn.com">dkmahmood@msn.com</a></p> <p><b>Dr. Mirza Habib Ali (PhD)</b> Director, Research Support Natural Sciences Linkages Programme Pakistan Science Foundation 1 Constitution Avenue, G-5/2 Islamabad, Pakistan Tel: 051-9209664 Cell: 0321-5669470 <a href="mailto:mirzahabibali@yahoo.com">mirzahabibali@yahoo.com</a></p>
<b>Sri Lanka</b>	<p><b>Hon. Susil Premajayantha</b>, Minister Ministry of Science, Technology and Research 3rd Floor, Stage I, Sethsiripaya, Battaramulla, Sri Lanka Tel : 0112879401 <a href="mailto:susilpremajayantha@hotmail.com">susilpremajayantha@hotmail.com</a></p> <p><b>Prof. Sirimali Fernando</b> Chair, National Science Foundation of Sri Lanka (NSF) and CEO, Coordinating Secretariat for Science, Technology and Innovation (COSTI) 3rd Floor, Sethsiripaya – Stage 1, Baththaramulla , Sri Lanka Tel: 011 2 696771 <a href="mailto:sirimali.f@costi.gov.lk">sirimali.f@costi.gov.lk</a> <a href="mailto:sirimali.f@gmail.com">sirimali.f@gmail.com</a></p> <p><b>Prof. M.J.S. Wijeyaratne</b> (B.Sc., M.Sc., Ph.D., FI Biol, C Biol, FNASSL) Senior Professor and Chair of Zoology, Department of Zoology &amp; Environmental Management, University of Kelaniya, Kelaniya 11600, Sri Lanka. Tel : +94 112903903, <a href="mailto:zoomjs@kln.ac.lk">zoomjs@kln.ac.lk</a> and Chairman, National Science and Technology Commission of Sri Lanka</p>

	<p>11/9, Dudley Senanayake Mawatha, Colombo 8, Sri Lanka</p> <p>Phone: +94(0)112903392, +94(0)112914479</p> <p><b>Dr Sachie Panawala</b> National Science Centre and also the Focal point for STEM education at COSTI <a href="mailto:pvsachie@gmail.com">pvsachie@gmail.com</a></p>
<b>Singapore</b>	<p><b>AU YONG Kok Soon</b> Senior Manager, Higher Education Policy Ministry of Education, Singapore 1 North Buona Vista Drive Singapore 138675 +68796147 <a href="mailto:AU_YONG_Kok_Soon@moe.gov.sg">AU_YONG_Kok_Soon@moe.gov.sg</a></p> <p><b>Ms. Anne Dhanaraj</b> Sr. Director, Education Programmes Science Centre Singapore 15 Science Centre Road Singapore 609081 <b>+64252516</b> <a href="mailto:Anne_DHANARAJ@science.edu.sg">Anne_DHANARAJ@science.edu.sg</a></p> <p><b>Mr. Daniel Tan</b> Senior Director for Projects and Exhibition, Science Centre Singapore 15 Science Centre Road Singapore 609081 <a href="mailto:Daniel_TAN@science.edu.sg">Daniel_TAN@science.edu.sg</a></p>
<b>Thailand</b>	<p><b>Dr. Pichai Sonchaeng</b> <i>Director, BUU Innopolis</i> <i>Founder Dean, Faculty of Marine Technology</i> Burapha University Chanthaburi Campus 57 Moo.1 Chon Pratan Road, Kamong Sub-district, Tha Mai District, Chanthaburi Province 22170 Thailand Tel : +66-3931-0000 <a href="mailto:pichai@go.buu.ac.th">pichai@go.buu.ac.th</a></p> <p><b>Ms. Ganigar Chen</b> Director, Office of Public Awareness in Science National Science Museum Technopolis, Klong 5, Klong Luang Pathumthani 12120, Thailand T +66 2 577 9999 ext 1400 <a href="mailto:Ganigar.C@nsm.or.th">Ganigar.C@nsm.or.th</a></p> <p><b>Dr. Pornphan Waitayangkul</b> President, Institute for the Promotion of Teaching Science &amp; Technology <a href="mailto:pwait@ipst.ac.th">pwait@ipst.ac.th</a> &lt;<a href="mailto:pwait@ipst.ac.th">mailto:pwait@ipst.ac.th</a>&gt;</p>
<b>United States</b>	<p><b>Mr. Jeff Rudolph</b> Director California Science Center Los Angeles, CA</p> <p><b>Ms. Shari Rosenstein Werb</b> Asst. Director of Education &amp; Outreach, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution P.O. Box 37012 Smithsonian Inst. Washington D.C., 20013-7012 <a href="mailto:WerbS@si.edu">WerbS@si.edu</a></p> <p><b>Dr. James Short</b></p>

	<p>Program Director  Leadership and Teaching to Advance Learning  Carnegie Corporation of New York  437 Madison Avenue  New York, NY 10022  (212) 371-3200  <a href="mailto:jbs@carnegie.org">jbs@carnegie.org</a></p> <p><b><u>Ms. Elizabeth Christopherson</u></b>  <a href="#">Executive Director</a>  <a href="#">Rita Allen Foundation</a>  New York, New York  <a href="mailto:ec@ritaallen.org">ec@ritaallen.org</a></p>
--	---



## Appendix B: Meeting Agenda

### Malaysia Conference Revised Agenda

#### Day One/Half Day

12:00-4:00 pm	Conference Registration
4:00-5:00 pm	Conference Welcomes and Overview  Professor Dr. Mathlan Othman Director, International Council for Sciences  Honorable <a href="#">Kamala Shirin Lakhdir</a> U.S. Ambassador to Malaysia
5:00-6:00 pm	Introductions/ Icebreaker Exercise
6:00 pm	Reception and Dinner (venue TBD)

#### Day Two

9:00 -10:00 am	Falk & Dierking Presentation – Science Education in the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century -- Science Learning Ecosystem and 3 “pillar” premise and current roles/affordances and constraints of informal/fcl institutions in pursuit of multiple pathways
10:00 -11:00 am	Whole Group discussion <sup>4</sup>
11:00 -11:30 am	Coffee Break
11:30 -12:30pm	Small groups talk about the science learning ecosystems within their country – relative strengths and weaknesses of 4 “pillars”
12:30-1:30 am	Lunch
1:30-3:00 pm	Sharing of small groups & discussion
3:00 - 3:30 pm	Break
3:30 – 4:15 pm	Small Group by country, how could we create a science learning ecosystem that better optimizes the 4 pillars: 1) Across the life span – children and adults; 2) for STEM careers and public engagement; 3) For minorities and under-served populations; and 4) is culturally and personally relevant for all.
4:15 - 5:15 pm	Quick sharing out and then Whole Group conversation about how to create a more robust science learning ecosystem that better optimizes the 3 pillars.

---

<sup>4</sup> Fourth “pillar” of science education – family and community – suggested and adopted during discussion.

7:00 - 9:00 pm	Dinner & Cultural Event at Petrosains Discovery Science Centre
----------------	--

### Day Three

8:30 am-9:00am	Whole group brainstorming about existing informal/assets.
9:00 am-10:30am	Small groups talking about opportunities and challenges for significantly enhancing informal/free-choice science learning assets and insuring that synergies exist within and between sectors.
10:30 -11:00 am	Coffee Break
11:00 -12:00 pm	Sharing and Whole Group discussion. <sup>5</sup> Brainstorming about contents of a regional science education Policy Statement.
12:00-1:00 pm	Lunch  Small working group drafts initial Policy Statement.
1:00-2:30 pm	Presentation of draft Policy Statement. Group process to refine/revise Statement. Policy Statement ratified by Group.
2:30 pm-3:00 pm	Coffee Break
3:00-4:30 pm	Small Group meetings to develop plans for building a robust science learning ecosystem that includes and supports each of the 4 pillars as co-equals and follows guidelines of Policy Statement. Each group provided a template to complete. Groups organized by nation. Each nation's plans posted for others to review.
4:30-5:00 pm	Next steps and Closing Remarks
5:00 pm	Conference Ends

---

<sup>5</sup> Recommendation from group to develop and endorse a regional science education policy statement.

## **Appendix C: Online Survey Instrument**

**Dear Conference Participant,**

Below is a short questionnaire (12 questions) that should take you about 15-20 minutes to complete. Please spend some time sharing your thoughts, as these responses will help us continue to support quality science education policy globally and ensure the future success of meetings such as this.

With our appreciation,  
John and Lynn

**Question # 1:** Did participation in this conference in any way change or enhance your perspectives on the popularization of science and how to advance public engagement and understanding of science in your country?

Please explain.

**Question # 2:** Did participation in this conference in any way support your on-going efforts to popularize science and advance public engagement and understanding of science in your country?

Please explain.

**Question # 3:** Do you feel there has been a change in your approach to the popularization of science and how to advance public engagement and understanding of science since the conference?

Please explain.

**Question # 4:** Was the idea of the “Four Pillars” of science public engagement and understanding of science useful to you in your work?

Please explain.

**Question # 5:** Now that you’ve had some time to reflect, do you feel that participating in this meeting was worthwhile to you?

Please explain.

**Question # 6:** Have you connected with any other participants since the meeting?  
With whom and for what reason?

**Question # 7:** Who else from your country do you think would have been important to have been invited to the meeting?

Please explain.

**Question # 8:** Has the person(s) above been informed about the meeting?

Would there be any way to (further) introduce/involve that person(s) with the ideas from the meeting?

Please explain.

**Question # 9:** The organizers of the meeting sought to make it a highly participatory meeting. Do you feel they were successful?

Please explain.

**Question # 10:** Do you feel everyone felt equally involved and comfortable to speak out?

Please explain.

**Question # 11:** If the meeting organizers could do one additional thing to help advance policy related to the popularization of science and public engagement and understanding of science *in your country*, what would you suggest they do?

**Question # 12:** If the meeting organizers could do one additional thing to help advance policy related to the popularization of science and public engagement and understanding of science *in the region*, what would you suggest they do?

## Appendix D: Complete Responses to Question 7 of Post Conference Questionnaire.

Q7 Who else from your country do you think would have been important to have been invited to the meeting? Please explain.

Answered: 17 Skipped: 0

### # RESPONSES DATE

- 1 Some decision makers from Ministry of Science and Technology 4/17/2018 3:24 AM
- 2 Colleagues from our Ministry of Education's curriculum planning division, as they are involved in planning the formal science education syllabus. It will be important for them to understand the impact of areas outside of formal education in the promotion of STEM. 4/15/2018 4:45 AM
- 3 Some policy makers in the Govt. such as from the Ministry of Human Resource Development, Ministry of S & T and NITI Aayog. 4/12/2018 7:58 AM
- 4 policy leadership from US Department of Education and NSF 4/11/2018 6:59 PM
- 5 Teaching as a whole is using centuries old chalk and duster approach with rote learning as primary tool for getting good grades in our country. Even the educationists are not considerate of better methods. Director of Department of Education and Professors working in the science education probably will be good candidates for the meeting. 4/11/2018 3:04 PM
- 6 Journalist for greater public awareness? 4/10/2018 4:43 PM
- 7 People from two ministries -- Education and Science & Technology. 4/10/2018 2:41 PM
- 8 Prof. Ajith de Alwis, who is the Project Director of the Coordinating Secretariat for Science Technology and Innovation, who has a vast knowledge and experience on popularization of science and public engagement of science, and who works actively on promoting STEM education in Sri Lanka. (He in fact was invited I believe, but couldn't participate due to a previously engaged commitment). 4/10/2018 2:41 PM
- 9 Representatives from Science Centre (which is in making), Natural History Museum (Tribhuvan University), and Everest Science Centre. 4/10/2018 12:36 PM
- 10 it was fine 4/10/2018 3:57 AM
- 11 Major players in our country with regards to science ducation/science popularization is Ministry of Education and Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation, and also Ministry of Higher Education. 4/10/2018 12:09 AM
- 12 Tech Dome Penang CEO. 4/9/2018 9:40 PM
- 13 Perhaps policy-makers or educators involved in formal science education, for a sharing of perspectives 4/9/2018 7:56 PM
- 14 from the ministries of education and parliament 3/28/2018 4:59 AM
- 15 I'm not certain. Maybe someone from NSF, from the media (to report on the work/conversations - very interesting dialogues), or someone doing 'out of the box' science popularization work. 3/26/2018 3:49 PM
- 16 There are some important stakeholders: 1. Ministry of education 2. Ministry of religion 3. Association of museums and science centers (Joko Santoso, Director of Puspa Iptek Bandung and Pak Syahrial Anas, Director Pusat Peragaan Iptek) 3/26/2018 10:08 AM
- 17 it was fine as it was. 4/22/2018 6:57 AM

## Appendix E: Complete Responses to Question 12 of Post Conference Questionnaire.

**Q12 If the meeting organizers could do one additional thing to help advance policy related to the popularization of science and public engagement and understanding of science in the region, what would you suggest they do?**

Answered: 17 Skipped: 0

1 I think everything was ok 4/17/2018 3:24 AM

2 Meeting organizers could work with existing organizations that are already setup to do so eg. ASPAC - Association of Science and Technology Centres in Asia Pacific. John's and Lynn's work will be very helpful and important to be shared with ASPAC member countries. 4/15/2018 4:45 AM

3 Organize such conferences more often specially in South East Asia region. 4/12/2018 7:58 AM

4 involvement of more education policy leaders from government may help 4/11/2018 6:59 PM

5 I do not know really. We are trying to put forward a science policy which would include science education. It will probably start in about six-ten months. I would like to consult with you and your colleagues in this regard. 4/11/2018 3:04 PM

6 Ad Council Campaign? Celebrity ice bucket viral equivalent? 4/10/2018 4:43 PM

7 the above (in item 11) + regional meets. 4/10/2018 2:41 PM

8 I suggest that they would form a permanent 'live' working group to work on this. 4/10/2018 2:41 PM

9 A discussion on how to integrate history and philosophy of science in advancing public understanding of science. 4/10/2018 12:36 PM

10 perhaps connect us together on email - also there were projects shared by various countries which I would have been very interested to listen to. These were given directly to the organisers and we did not hear of any. 4/10/2018 3:57 AM

11 As far as policy is concern, it is quite politically driven here in Malaysia, so we need to identify those political figures that are really into the efforts of popularization of science 4/10/2018 12:09 AM

12 Meet with MOSTI and Academy Science Malaysia. Get on the Joint Committee on S&T agenda. 4/9/2018 9:40 PM

13 Continue to share research findings that support the development of informal science learning environments. 4/9/2018 7:56 PM

14 Key educational approaches/startegies employed • The development of new science centers/museums (at least one science center/museum in every province in Indonesia). Providing science centers/museums socialization programs (outreach, travelling program, seminar, FGD, etc) in order to have better understanding about. Using the Indonesia Science Centers Association as a tool to accelerate the development of science centers by influencing community, government, parliament, and so on. Encouraging the active science centers for helping the development of other science centers. Increasing the role of universities for the development of science centers/museums and others. • The development of new policy to increase the use of informal science learning resources by schools and Teacher Training Institutions. • Providing new policy to encourage industries for science education literacy programs. • The development program of informal science learning resources as integral part of curriculum of Training Institutions. • Increasing the role of universities for the development of science literacy program in the local area. • Developing networks with potential stakeholders both national and international. Audiences • Government (central and local) • Parliament. • Universities • Business • Schools • Community • Association How you will evaluate to measure evidence of success? • New policy for science literacy programs • New science centers/museums and others 3/28/2018 4:59 AM

15 Run a similar type of conference at the regional level with funders, business, family organizations and educational leadership. 3/26/2018 3:49 PM

16 More practisioners and researchers are invited from many areas. 3/26/2018 10:08 AM

17 More budgets for informal learning. Also, more industries involve/support in the science literacy programs. 4/22/2018 6:57 AM